

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 03 2005

EXHIBIT A

SN 10/691,143

3

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 9018678249

Fax Information

Date Received:

8/3/2005 10:25:27 AM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Total Pages:

8 (Including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received
Cover
Page

=====>

08/03/2005 08:28 FAX 9018678249		WMT ADMIN		001	
WRIGHT.		FAX COVER SHEET			
		Wright Medical Technology, Inc. 6017 Alshire Road, Arlington, TN 38002-8501 www.wmt.com			
Date:	August 3, 2005				
To:	Mail Stop Amendment	Fax:	(703) 872-6306		
From:	Patricia Powell	Fax:	(801) 887-4398		
Number of pages including cover sheet:	7	Phone:	(801) 887-4642		
Certificate of Transmission					
Application No.:	10681, 143	Art Unit:	3732		
Filed:	October 21, 2003	Our Ref.:	702.153		
For:	Acetabular Reamer	Examiner:	Annette R. Reimers		
To:	Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450				
I hereby certify that the following correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on this 3 rd day of August, 2005.					
Amendment					
By:					
	Patricia Powell				
This facsimile message (including any following pages) is confidential and may contain legally privileged information intended only for the use of the individual or company named. If the reader is not the intended recipient, or employee, or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original facsimile transmission to us at the address above. We will reimburse you for any costs you incur in notifying us and returning this facsimile to us. Thank you.					
PAGE 01 * RCVD AT 11/3/2005 14:33:42 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:9018678249 * DURATION (mm-ss):05-44					

*** TX REPORT ***

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO 2849
CONNECTION TEL 17038728308
CONNECTION ID
ST. TIME 08/03 09:28
USAGE T 03'12
PGS. SENT 8
RESULT OK



FAX COVER SHEET

Wright Medical Technology, Inc.
5877 Airline Road Arlington, TN 38002-9501
www.wmt.com

Date:	August 3, 2005		
To:	Mail Stop Amendment	Fax:	(703) 872-9306
From:	Patricia Powell	Fax:	(901) 867-4398
Number of pages including cover sheet:		Phone:	(901) 867-4542

Certificate of Transmission

Application No.: 10/691,143 Art Unit: 3732
Filed: October 21, 2003 Our Ref.: 702.153
For: Acetabular Reamer Examiner: Annette R. Reimers
To: Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

I hereby certify that the following correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on this 3rd day of August, 2005.

Amendment

By: 
Patricia Powell



FAX COVER SHEET

Wright Medical Technology, Inc.
5677 Airline Road Arlington, TN 38002-9501
www.wmt.com

Date:	August 3, 2005		
To:	Mail Stop Amendment	Fax:	(703) 872-9306
From:	Patricia Powell	Fax:	(901) 867-4398
Number of pages including cover sheet:	7	Phone:	(901) 867-4542

Certificate of Transmission

Application No.: 10/691,143 Art Unit: 3732
Filed: October 21, 2003 Our Ref.: 702.153
For: Acetabular Reamer Examiner: Annette R. Reimers
To: Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

I hereby certify that the following correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on this 3rd day of August, 2005.

Amendment

By: 
Patricia Powell

This facsimile message (including any following pages) is confidential and may contain legally privileged information intended only for the use of the individual or company named. If the reader is not the intended recipient, or employee, or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original facsimile transmission to us at the address above. We will reimburse you for any costs you incur in notifying us and returning this facsimile to us. Thank you.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 03 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Stephen B. Murphy
SERIAL NO.: 10/691,143
FILING DATE: October 21, 2003
EXAMINER: Annette R. Reimers
ART UNIT: 3732
ATTY. DOC. NO.: 702.153
TITLE: "ACETABULAR IMPACTOR"

* * * * *

FILED VIA FACSIMILE (703-872-9306)
Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

Applicant responds as follows to the office action mailed May 4, 2005.

SN 10/691,143

1

Listing of specification amendments:

In the paragraph beginning at page 5, line 20 [paragraph 0028 of the published application]:

Getting back to the acetabular impactor 100, impact end 110 will typically have an impact surface 135 for direct receipt of the blows of the impacting tool. Between attachment end 105 and impact end 110, is the body of acetabular impactor 100. The body of acetabular impactor 100 generally comprises four legs straight, 115, 120, 125, and 130. Straight legs are preferred, cf, U.S. Pub. to Parker, supra, because sometimes the legs of the acetabular impactor will also be struck by the impacting tool during impaction to set up valgus and varus and straight legs make sure the impact is squarely applied to the acetabular impactor 100. First leg 115 and fourth leg 130 are at least parallel and typically coaxial along the ~~impact axis A~~ impact axis I. Second leg 120 will typically extend at an angle α from first leg 115. Angle α will typically be an acute angle, and most preferably 45 degrees. Third leg 125 will typically be fixed with respect to the second leg 120, preferably at a right angle (however, curves are feasible in this narrow area). Second and third legs 120 and 125 are preferred to each be about 7 inches long. Finally, fourth leg 130 will typically extend at an angle β from first third 125. Angle β will typically be an acute angle and equal to angle α , e.g., most preferably 45 degrees. When this preferred leg configuration is applied, an open area Q will result that is spatially optimized for fitting in of the greater trochanter of the femur (not shown) during an acetabular impaction.

Listing of claim amendments:

1. (Currently amended) An acetabular impactor, comprising:
an impactor body having first and second ends;
a releasable connection for attaching an acetabular shell to said impactor;
a remote actuator for releasing the connection between said shell and said impactor; ~~and~~
said remote actuator manipulated distant said first and second ends, and
said actuator including a mechanical drive, wherein said mechanical drive can transfer
rotational motion from one axis to another.
2. (Original) The acetabular impactor according to claim 1, wherein:
said remote actuator is swingable independent of said impactor body.
3. (Cancelled)
4. (Cancelled)
5. (Currently amended) The acetabular impactor according to ~~claim 4~~ claim 1, wherein said
mechanical drive includes a universal-joint.
6. (Currently amended) The acetabular impactor according to ~~claim 4~~ claim 1, wherein said
mechanical drive includes a bevel gear.
7. (Currently amended) The acetabular impactor according to ~~claim 3~~ claim 1, wherein at
least a portion of the actuator is within said body.
8. (Original) The acetabular impactor according to claim 7, wherein said body is rigid.
9. (Original) The acetabular impactor according to claim 1, wherein said releasable
connection comprises a threaded connection.
10. (Currently amended) ~~An acetabular impactor, comprising~~ The acetabular impactor
according to claim 1, wherein:

SN 10/691,143

~~first and second ends;~~

said first end for receiving an impact of a hammering device;

said second end having a said connection for attaching an acetabular shell thereto, said connection having an attachment axis;

a first leg extending from said connection and parallel to said attachment axis;

a second leg, acutely angled with respect to said first leg, and extending from said first leg;

a third leg, extending at an angle with respect to said second leg; and

a fourth leg, acutely angled with respect to said third leg, and extending parallel and opposite to said first leg.

11. (Original) The acetabular impactor of claim 10, wherein the angle between said second and third legs is a right angle and said second and third legs are substantially the same length.

12. (Original) The acetabular impactor of claim 11, wherein said legs are rigid and of a fixed length.

13. (Original) The acetabular impactor of claim 12, wherein said first and fourth legs are coaxial.

14. (Original) The acetabular impactor of claim 11, wherein said acute angles may be varied.

15. (Original) The acetabular impactor of claim 14, wherein said first and fourth legs are separate members from said second and third legs, and wherein said acute angles may be varied by varying the angles between said separate legs.

16. (Original) The acetabular impactor of claim 10, wherein:

said shell has an inner radius; and

the length of said first leg is less than said inner radius.

17. (Original) The acetabular impactor of claim 16, wherein the length of said second leg is greater than said inner radius.
18. (Original) The acetabular impactor of claim 16, wherein said length of said first leg being the smallest length that does not cause impingement of said shell and said second leg.
19. (Original) The acetabular impactor of claim 10, further comprising a navigation frame.
20. (Currently amended) ~~An acetabular impactor, comprising~~ The acetabular impactor according to claim 1, wherein:
- ~~first and second ends;~~
 - said first end for receiving an impact of a hammering device;
 - said second end having a said connection for attaching an acetabular shell, said acetabular shell having an inner radius thereto;
 - a first leg extending from said connection and parallel to said attachment axis;
 - a second leg, acutely angled with respect to said first leg, and extending from said first leg;
 - the length of said first leg is less than said inner radius.
21. (New) The acetabular impactor according to claim 1, wherein said mechanical drive is a solid flexible coupling.
22. (New) The acetabular impactor according to claim 10, wherein said releasable connection comprises a threaded connection.

Remarks

Claims 1-2 and 5-22 are in the case. Claims 3 and 4 have been cancelled. The Examiner indicated that claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, the limitations of claims 3 and 4 have been incorporated into claim 1 in order to put claim 1 in condition for allowance. Claims 2 and 5-22 depend directly or indirectly from allowable claim 1, and are therefore believed to be in a condition for allowance. Because claim 1 is an allowable generic or linking claim, it is believed that the election requirement is now moot. No new matter has been added by the amendments. Support for new claim 21 is found in Fig. 2B at p. 7, lines 15-16 of the original specification. Support for new claim 22 is found at page 7, lines 7-10 of the original specification.

The specification has been amended to address the objections to the drawings and to the specification. The drawings were objected to because they did not include reference Ai, while the specification was objected to because reference I of Figure 1 was not mentioned in the detailed description. The foregoing amendment to the specification addresses both of these objections by substituting "impact axis I" for "impact axis Ai," and thereby bringing the specification into conformity with the drawings.

It is believed that this response has been filed within the applicable time period for responding and that no extension of time is therefore required, but if an extension is required, applicant hereby requests an appropriate extension of time. It is further believed that no fees are

due, but if any fees or credits are due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or deposit them to Deposit Account No. 502795.

Respectfully submitted,



Shawn D. Sentilles, Reg. No. 38,299
WRIGHT MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.
(USPTO Customer No. 37902)
5677 Airline Road
Arlington, TN 38002
Telephone: 901-867-4314

Dated: 08/03/2005